

TIME OF WEANING AND COW CONDITION



Jack C. Whittier, Colorado State
Extension Beef Specialist
Ron C. Torell and Ben Bruce,
University of Nevada Extension
Specialists
Dave Chamberlin, Jay Carr and Bill
Zollinger,
Oregon State Extension Specialists

Introduction

Time of weaning can be altered to manipulate cow body condition to maintain high reproductive rates and reduce winter feed requirements. Cows nursing their calves for a longer or shorter period of time than is traditional decrease or increase their body condition. Often when a cow is declining in body condition the calf is not growing at optimum. Changing either the calving date and (or) the weaning date will have an influence on cow condition. Age of the calf at weaning is affected by both the date of birth and the date of weaning. Any change in time of weaning must balance the potential positive impacts on the cows with potential negative impacts on the calves or calf market weights.

Role Of Cow Body Condition On Herd Productivity

The condition of beef cows at calving is associated with length of postpartum interval (time following calving). It also affects lactation performance, health and vigor of the newborn calf, and in extremely fat or thin heifers the incidence of calving difficulty. The condition of cows at breeding influences the number of services per conception, calving interval, and the percentage of open cows (Herd and Sprott, 1987).

For spring calving cows body condition in the fall affects the amount and type of winter feed supplements that will be needed (Momont et al., 1994). Cows in adequate body condition usually need only small quantities of supplements, while thin cows usually need large quantities of supplements high in energy. Researchers in Minnesota (Thompson et al., 1983) reported a 6-10% higher energy requirement for maintaining thin cows (versus moderate to high body condition) through the winter in a cold environment. A cost savings may also result from having cows enter the winter in good body condition.

Matching Calving And Weaning Dates To The Ranch Forage Base

Timing the start of calving in anticipation of the plant growth cycle can reduce the need for high levels of supplement or hay. The cow's nutrient requirements increase substantially after calving and continue to increase through peak lactation, generally 45 to 60 days post calving. At the same time reproductive functions must be supported in order to remain on an annual calving schedule.

As range or pasture plants mature, nutritive quality declines to the point that optimum production cannot be maintained. While an individual plant's maturation date will vary with the year, temperature, rainfall, soil, elevation, aspect, etc., it is well established that with maturation comes a decline in both digestibility and protein content. Regardless of the date, this decline in quality begins at the boot stage for grass plants and at the bud stage for broad leafed forbs. Research at the Squaw Butte Experiment Station in Oregon indicates northern Great Basin desert ranges typically reach maturity in mid July. More arid sites will be earlier and high elevation forest ranges will be later. After these dates it is difficult for a lactating cow to consume sufficient nutrients to maintain her calf, herself, and her own body condition.

Traditional Weaning At 7 Months Of Age

The beef industry adjusts weaning weights to 205 days to make a fair comparison of animals born on different dates. Older calves normally weigh more than herd mates. If weights were not adjusted to a constant, one would probably just select older cattle. The practice of adjusting weights to 205 days of age has led many to the notion that their calves should be weaned at that age. There is little basis for this practice in commercial herds.

Appropriate reasons for the traditional weaning age of 7 to 8 months of age include: 1) in spring calving cows the decline in forage quantity and quality, 2) possibility of early winter storms, 3) a beef cow's lactation curve has declined substantially, 4) it gives the cow time to prepare for her next calf, and 5) tradition. There are just as many reasons to reevaluate time of weaning, particularly with regard to managing cow body condition.



Time Of Weaning Alternatives To Decrease The Impact On Reproduction

Anestrus (absence of estrus or heat) is a condition that exists in most mammals after they give birth. This allows time to recuperate after pregnancy. Postpartum anestrus in cows is defined as the time after calving when estrous cycles do not occur (Short et al., 1994). The combined effects of the suckling stimulus, behavioral responses, and nutritional demands of milk production cause anestrus in beef cows.

Suckling and lactation impact reproduction in two ways. The short-term effect of suckling lengthens the postpartum interval. It may reduce or delay pregnancy during

the breeding period in the year the suckling occurs. This is especially true in young cows (or) thin cows. Secondly, long-term effects of lactation may have an indirect effect on reproduction by reducing cow body condition. If a cow does not regain enough condition, pregnancy may be delayed or reduced in the year(s) following the lactation. This is frequently seen in young cows who may breed adequately as two-year-olds, but because they lose condition, fail to breed as three-year-olds.

Suckling and lactation management are certainly not the only alternatives available for achieving reproductive success. Nutrition, disease, genetics and management play a vital role in this regard as well.

Weaning Options.

Many possibilities exist, from partial and temporary weaning to complete weaning (Whittier, 1995). Complete weaning treatments can occur anytime from immediately after calving up to near the time of the next calving. Partial weaning is when calves are separated from the dams for most of the day and allowed only one or two short periods during the day to suckle. Reducing the length of time a cow is suckled will often result in shortening the postpartum interval to estrus. However, the response to this management is variable and the practicality of such a system greatly limits its usefulness in commercial herds. Temporary weaning is when calves are completely removed from their dams for a short period (at least 48 hours). Temporary weaning has been successfully coupled with estrus synchronization programs which use progestins (Smith et al., 1979). The practical application of temporary weaning is frequently limited to use only at the beginning of the breeding season and in conjunction with estrus synchronization. A minimum of 45 days post partum interval is required to be effective.

Complete weaning is permanent separation of a calf from its dam. Complete weaning can be done at any time after birth and is discussed in relation to five time periods: 1) early weaning before the start of the breeding season (birth to 90 days), 2) early weaning during the breeding season (90 days to 160 days, with a 70 day breeding season), 3) normal weaning (180 to 240 days), 4) late weaning (240 to 280 days), and 5) variable weaning, or manipulating the time of weaning from year to year to match the circumstances of the production cycle. This would likely occur between 120 and 280 days of age.

Early Weaning (Less than 100 days)

Oklahoma researchers (Lusby et al., 1981) reported a 37% advantage (97% vs. 59%) in return-conception in first-calf heifers that began calving in February when calves were weaned at 6 to 8 weeks of age compared to heifers whose calves were weaned at 7 months. Additionally, the average interval from calving to conception was shortened by 18 days (91 vs. 73 days). However, weaning at less than 90 days will increase the need for harvested and purchased feeds for calves following weaning and increase labor and management needs.

Early Weaning in First Calf Heifers (more than 100 days)

A Nevada study (Conley et al., 1995) showed time of weaning had a dramatic influence on heifer body condition. One hundred first calf heifers were either weaned at 150 days (EW) or after 205 days (LW). On September 2, at the time of weaning of the LW group, 77% of heifers from the EW group had BCS of 4+ to 5 compared to

29% of heifers from the LW group Little change in BCS was seen 1 month later on October 8, 1992, at gathering. On November 23, 1992, after spending the interim on alfalfa aftermath, heifers from the EW group still held an advantage in body condition. This demonstrates the advantage of maintaining the body condition of heifers over the attempts to improve body condition once it is lost.

After adjusting to a 205-day weaning date, the average weights of calves from the EW group were 401 lb., compared to 421-lb. average of calves from the LW group. Feed and forage costs were calculated for the period from October 1, 1991, to September 1, 1992 for the EW and LW groups. A cost of \$15.50 per head was incurred for the EW calves as a result of pasture and supplemented feed costs during the period from July 1 to September 1. The 20-lb. weight disadvantage observed in the EW calves cost an additional \$20 (20 lb. @ \$1.00/lb.). Total costs of EW calves over LW calves was \$35.50. To bring heifers from the LW group to a comparable body condition to EW heifers would cost \$100/head in increased supplemental feed (one ton of alfalfa hay/head @ \$100). The increased costs associated with the weaning strategy can be offset by costs incurred to improve the body condition of heifers.

Early Weaning in Mature Cows (more than 100 days)

The results of early weaning programs vary depending on available quality and quantity of forage and body condition of the cows. Weaning calves during or soon after the breeding season in drought years is an accepted practice for stretching a limited forage supply. Research with early weaning of fall-born calves in Ohio (Peterson et al., 1987) (110 vs. 222 days of age) estimated the hay consumption by the early weaning dams 45.3 percent less than cows with normal weaned calves. When TDN consumption for both the cow and the calf was compared, early weaned cow/calf pairs consumed 20.4 percent less TDN than normal weaned cow/calf pairs. Recent work in Oklahoma (Purvis et al., 1995) indicates that cows consume approximately 1 percent of their body weight less following early weaning.

Variable Weaning

Variable weaning is used to describe a management system designed to manipulate the time of weaning from year to year to match the circumstances of the particular production year. Variable weaning may serve as a technique for tempering the match or mismatch of cattle to resources. Changing the genetic characteristics of a herd takes time unless the cow herd is sold and other cows purchased to replace them. This approach is generally not feasible.

Precipitation, market and management circumstances often change from year to year. By using some type of variable weaning system a rancher may be able to: 1) manage under drought conditions, 2) manage cow condition relative to available feed supply, 3) minimize the purchase of "off ranch" inputs, and 4) meet certain markets for the calves.

There are, however, limitations and challenges to adopting a variable weaning approach. Since time of weaning may vary considerably from one year to the next it is important to plan well. Factors such as marketing at different times each year, adjusting stocking rates to utilize grazing after calves are weaned, or stretching grazing if calves remain with cows beyond typical weaning time all must be addressed.

Late Weaning (over 205 days)

If conditions exist where adequate forage quality and quantity are available, weaning calves later than the traditional 7 to 8 months of age may be feasible. Calf weights will likely be greater in late weaning systems. However, if cow condition is reduced to the point that it impacts subsequent cow reproduction, or if calf weight gains during the late sucking period are reduced significantly, the risks will outweigh the advantages.

Time of Weaning Management in Fall Calving Herds

Most of the discussion in this paper focuses on spring calving cows. That is because most calving seasons in the West occur in the spring. There are regions of the country, and circumstances where fall calving has distinct advantages and is a common practice. In fall calving systems, one disadvantage is that peak demand for nutrients to support lactation for most environments occurs out of synchrony with peak nutrient availability from grazed forages. Therefore, early weaning systems with mature cows may be more advantageous in fall calving herds than spring calving herds.

Implications

Several options are available to cattle producers to use time of weaning as a management tool to manipulate cow body condition. Advantages of early weaning are greater in young cows, especially first-calf heifers, than in mature cows. Weaning calves from mature cows at 5 to 6 months of age will increase cows body condition and reduce their forage intake demands. To maintain any advantages to early weaning, the calf must receive adequate post weaning nutrition and care. Perhaps the most favorable months to change body condition in spring calving cows are September, October and November. Prior to these months the demand for milk production is high and makes it difficult to increase cow condition. After these months the impact of colder temperatures also makes it difficult to add condition because expensive and oftentimes lower quality processed feeds are being fed.

Remember, the forage resources in the western US vary tremendously. In some situations where forage conditions are favorable, cows may actually be gaining weight late in lactation. And in some cases calves may also be gaining. The relative performance depends on forage conditions which will depend on lactation, year, and forage management. If a herd analysis shows low reproductive rates and low condition scores at weaning, altering weaning date is one option to be considered for cows that are too thin going into the winter, but the cost of maintaining the cow and calf needs to be part of the equation. In some cases changes in forage management to improve forage quality may be the appropriate option.

References

Conley, K.C., L. B. Bruce, L. J. Krysl, J. W. Burkhardt, W. G. Kvasnicka, R.C. Torell, G. M. Vesperat, and J. Wilker. 1995. Heifer development under a western range environment - Calving and Weaning. *Agri-Practice*. Vol. 16, no. 8:6-9.

Herd, D. B. and L. R. Sprott. 1987. Body Condition, Nutrition and Reproduction of

Beef Cows. Texas Agricultural Extension Service. Circular B01526.

Lusby, K. S., R. P. Wettemann and E.J. Turman. 1981. Effects of early weaning calves from first-calf heifers on calf and heifer performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* 53:1193.

Momont, P. A. and R. J. Pruitt. 1994. Condition Scoring Beef Cattle. CL 720 Cow-Calf Management Guide.

Peterson, G .A., T. B. Turner, K.M. Irvin, M.E. Davis, H.W. Newland and W.R. Harvey. 1987. Cow and calf performance and economic considerations of early weaning of fall-born beef calves. *J. Anim. Sci.* 64:16.

Purvis, H.T., C.R. Floyd, K. S. Lusby, R. P. Wettemann. 1995. Effects of early-weaning and body condition score (BCS) at calving on performance of spring calving cows. *Okla. Ag. Exp. Sta. 1995 An. Sci, Res. Report.* p. 943:68.

Short, R. E., R.B. Staigmilller, R.A. Bellows, D. C. Adams and J.G. Berardinelli. 1994. Effects of Suckling on Postpartum Reproduction. In: *Factions Affecting Calf Crop.* Ed., M.J. Fields and R. S. Sand. p. 179.

Smith, M.F., W.C. Burrell, L.D. Shipp, L.R. Sprott, W.N. Songster and J.N. Wiltbank. 1979. Hormone treatments and use of calf removal in postpartum beef cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 48:1285.

Thompson, W. P., J.C. Meiske, R. D. Goodrich, J.R. Rust and F. M. Byers. 1983. Influence of body composition on energy requirements of beef cows during winter. *J. Ani. Sci.* 56:1241.

Whittier, J.C., B.L. Weech, and R. Eakins. 1995. Effect of weaning calves from primiparous cows at the beginning of the breeding season following first calving on subsequent dam and calf productivity. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73 (Supplement.1:241)

Issued in furtherance of cooperative extension work in agriculture and home economics, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, LeRoy D. Luft, Director of Cooperative Extension System, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844. Extension directors in other cooperating states are James A. Christenson, University of Arizona; W. R. Gomes, University of California; Milan A. Rewerts, Colorado State University; Roy Nishimoto (interim), University of Hawaii; Andrea L. Pagenkopf, Montana State University; Bernard M. Jones, University of Nevada/Reno; Jerry Schickedanz, New Mexico State University; Lyla Houglum (interim), Oregon State University; Robert Gilliland, Utah State University; Jim Zuiches, Washington State University; and Edna Leib McBreen, University of Wyoming. Second edition; Fall 1996 Update 747-4 1):241.

UNIVERSITY
OF NEVADA

The University of Nevada, Reno is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, age, creed, national origin, veteran status, physical or mental disability or sexual orientation, in any program or activity it operates. The University of Nevada employs only United States citizens and those aliens lawfully authorized to work in the United States.